

Supersedes: ISO TC184/SC4/WG10 N327

Industrial automation systems and integration — Integration of industrial data for exchange, access, and sharing — Part 1: Architecture overview and description

COPYRIGHT NOTICE:

This ISO document is a working draft or committee draft and is copyright protected by ISO. While the reproduction of working drafts or committee drafts in any form for use by Participants in the ISO standards development process is permitted without prior permission from ISO, neither this document nor any extract from it may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form for any other purpose without prior written permission from ISO. Requests for permission to reproduce this document for the purposes of selling it should be addressed as shown below (via the ISO TC 184/SC4 Secretariat's member body) or to ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

Copyright Manager, ANSI, 11 West 42nd Street, New York, New York 10036, USA. phone: +1-212-642-4900, fax: +1-212-398-0023

Reproduction for sales purposes may be subject to royalty payments or a licensing agreement. Violators may be prosecuted.

ABSTRACT:

This document provides an overview and description of the ISO 18876 architecture for integration of industrial data.

KEYWORDS:

industrial data, integration, exchange, access, sharing, architecture, overview

COMMENTS TO READER:

This draft is intended for review by WG10, as a final draft prior to release for CD ballot.

Project leader: Matthew West
Operations & Asset Management
Shell Services International
H3229, Shell Centre
London, SE1 7NA
UK

Telephone: +44 207 934 4490
Fax: +44 207 934 7929
Email: Matthew.R.West@is.shell.com

Part editor: Matthew West
Operations & Asset Management
Shell Services International
H3229, Shell Centre
London, SE1 7NA
UK

Telephone: +44 207 934 4490
Fax: +44 207 934 7929
Email: Matthew.R.West@is.shell.com

Document type: Technical Specification

Document subtype: Not applicable

Document stage: Working Draft (20)

Document language: E

File name: wgl10n333.doc

Template: ISO SC4 latest.dot

© ISO 2001

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO Copyright Office
Case Postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 734 10 79
E-mail copyright@iso.ch
Web www.iso.ch

Contents

Page

1	Scope	1
2	Normative references.....	2
3	Terms and definitions	2
4	Organization of ISO 18876.....	4
5	Fundamental concepts and assumptions	5
5.1	Integration models.....	5
5.1.1	Principles	5
5.1.2	Scope and context.....	6
5.1.3	Integration model concepts.....	9
5.1.4	A full integration model.....	9
5.2	Mapping specifications.....	10
6	Overview of the model integration process	11
7	Integration architecture components.....	14
7.1	Entity attribute relationship based components	14
8	Data mapping and consolidation.....	15
9	Relationship to other standards.....	15
	Annex A (normative) Information object registration.....	17
	Bibliography	18
	Index	19

Figures

Figure 1 – Model Integration.....	5
Figure 2: Integration into more than one integration model.....	5
Figure 3 – A limited integration model.....	6
Figure 4 – Integrating an application model and a limited integration model.....	7
Figure 5 – Using an integration model with a wide model context.....	7
Figure 6 – Integrating additional application models.....	8
Figure 7 – Primitive Concepts	9
Figure 8 – A full integration model	10
Figure 9 – Integrating application models with an integration model.....	11
Figure 10 – Analyzing the application models.....	12
Figure 11 – Adding any missing concepts to the integration model	12
Figure 12 – Identifying the subset of the integration model.....	13
Figure 13 – Creating the mapping between the integration model subset and the application model.....	13
Figure 14 – Integration architecture components.....	14
Figure 15 – Data consolidation.....	15

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 3

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75% of the member bodies casting a vote.

In other circumstances, particularly when there is an urgent market requirement for such documents, a technical committee may decide to publish other types of normative document:

- an ISO Publicly Available Specification (ISO/PAS) represents an agreement between technical experts in an ISO working group and is accepted for publication if it is approved by more than 50 % of the members of the parent committee casting a vote;
- an ISO Technical Specification (ISO/TS) represents an agreement between the members of a technical committee and is accepted for publication if it is approved by 2/3 of the members of the committee casting a vote.

An ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is reviewed every three years with a view to deciding whether it can be transformed into an International Standard.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this part of ISO 18876 may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/TS 18876-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC184, *Industrial automation systems and integration*, Subcommittee SC4, *Industrial data*.

This International Standard is organized as a series of parts, each published separately. The structure of this International Standard is described in this part of ISO 18876.

A complete list of parts of ISO 18876 is available from the Internet:

[<http://www.iso18876.org/parts.html>](http://www.iso18876.org/parts.html)

Annex A forms a normative part of this part of ISO 18876.

0 Introduction

0.1 Overview of ISO 18876

This International Standard establishes an architecture, a methodology, and other specifications for the integration of industrial data for exchange, access, and sharing. The following activities are supported:

- integrating data which may be from different sources or different contexts, which may be described by different models, which may be written in different modelling languages;
- sharing data among applications through systems integration architectures;
- resolving conflict between models developed with different objectives;
- translating data between different encodings;
- translating models between different modelling languages.

The components that support these activities include:

- integration models;
- methods for creating, extending, and updating integration models;
- methods for creating a mapping specification to map data instances between an integration model and an application model that falls within its scope;
- encoding and decoding of data and models with different formats, such as ISO 8876 - SGML, XML, ISO 10303-11 - EXPRESS, ISO/IEC 19501-1 - UML and ISO 10303-21;
- methods for consolidating data sets from different sources and different models ;
- appropriate modelling and mapping languages.

0.2 Organization of this part of ISO 18876

This part of ISO 18876 is organized as follows:

- clause 1 specifies the scope and field of application of the International Standard and of this part of ISO 18876;
- clause 2 identifies additional standards that, through references in this part of ISO 18876, constitute provisions of this part of ISO 18876;
- clause 3 defines terms used in this part of ISO 18876;
- clause 4 describes the organization of this International Standard;
- clause 5 provides an overview of the integration process;
- clause 6 provides an overview of the processes of data mapping and consolidation;
- clause 7 summarizes the relationships with other standards.

0.3 Target audiences

The target audiences for this part of ISO 18876 are as follows:

- technical managers wishing to determine whether ISO 18876 is appropriate for their business needs;
- implementers wishing to obtain an overview of its contents.

Industrial automation systems and integration — Integration of industrial data for exchange, access, and sharing — Part 1: Architecture overview and description

1 Scope

This International Standard establishes an architecture, a methodology, and other specifications for the integration of industrial data for exchange, access, and sharing. The following activities are supported:

- integrating data which may be from different sources or different contexts, which may be described by different models, which may be written in different modelling languages;
- sharing data among applications through systems integration architectures;
- resolving conflict between models developed with different objectives;
- translating data between different encodings;
- translating models between different modelling languages.

The following are within the scope of ISO 18876:

- integration models;
- methods for creating, extending, and updating integration models;
- methods for creating a mapping specification to map data instances between an integration model and an application model that falls within its scope;
- encoding and decoding of data and models with different formats, such as ISO 8876 - SGML, XML, ISO 10303-11 - EXPRESS, ISO/IEC 19501-1 - UML and ISO 10303-21;
- methods for consolidating data sets from different sources and different models ;
- appropriate modelling and mapping languages.

The following is within the scope of this part of ISO 18876:

- an outline of the architecture.

The following are outside the scope of this part of ISO 18876:

- detailed specifications of the elements of the architecture.

NOTE Such specifications can be found in other parts of ISO 18876 or in other standards.

2 Normative references

The following normative documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO 18876. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. However, parties to agreements based on this International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies. Members of ISO and IEC maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO/IEC 8824-1:1994, *Information technology — Open systems interconnection — Abstract syntax notation one (ASN.1) — Part 1: Specification of basic notation*.

ISO 10303-1:—¹⁾, *Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange — Part 1: Overview and fundamental principles*.

ISO 10303-11:—²⁾, *Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange — Part 11: The EXPRESS language reference manual*.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 18876, the following terms, definitions, and abbreviations apply; those taken from ISO 10303-1 are repeated below for convenience.

NOTE Definitions copied verbatim from other standards are followed by a reference to the standard in brackets, such as “[ISO 10303-1]”. In these cases the definition in the referenced document is normative; its repetition here is informative and in the case of any discrepancy the definition in the referenced document has precedence. An explanatory note follows definitions that have been adapted from other standards. In these cases, the definition given here is normative for the purposes of this part of ISO 18876.

3.1

application model (AM)

model that represents information used for some particular purpose

NOTE Some application models are also integration models.

3.2

class

collection to which some significance is attached

3.3

concept

general notion or idea of something

3.4

data

representation of information in a formal manner suitable for communication, interpretation, or processing by human beings or computers

[ISO 10303-1]

¹⁾ To be published. (Revision of ISO 10303-1:1994)

²⁾ To be published. (Revision of ISO 10303-11:1994)

3.5**data model**

definition, structure, and format of data

3.6**derived concept**

concept in an integration model that is wholly defined in terms of primitive concepts

3.7**encoding transformation**

transformation to the way data elements are encoded for computer processing

EXAMPLE ASCII, HTML, XML, ISO10303-21, EXPRESS.

3.8**foundation concept**

primitive concept that determines the underlying world viewpoint of an integration model

EXAMPLE The concepts of “class” and “individual” are foundation concepts for a general integration model.

3.9**general concept**

primitive concept that has very wide applicability, but is a specialization of some foundation concept

NOTE The boundary between what is a foundation concept and what is a general concept is arbitrary.

3.10**individual**

thing that exists in space and time

NOTE This includes things that actually exist, or have existed, and things that possibly exist (past, present, and future) in space and time.

3.11**information**

facts, concepts, or instructions

[ISO 10303-1]

3.12**integration model (IM)**

application model that can represent the information that is represented by two or more application models

3.13**mapping specification**

specification of the transformations necessary to take information according to one data model and represent the same information according to another data model

3.14**model**

limited representation of something suitable for some purpose

3.15**model context**

range of activities and viewpoints for which an application model is valid

3.16**model scope**

range of information that an application model can describe

3.17**primitive concept**

concept in an integration model that is not wholly defined in terms of other concepts

3.18**specific concept**

primitive concept that is a specialization of some general concept and has a limited range of applicability

EXAMPLE Car, process plant, quark, purchase order, and XML document are examples of specific concepts.

NOTE The boundary between what is a general concept and what is a specific concept is arbitrary.

3.19**structural transformation**

transformation to the structure of data

NOTE The change in structure could be to the rearranging of attributes, the splitting of attributes across entity types, or the creation of new attributes.

3.20**terminology transformation**

transformation to the term used to refer to a thing

NOTE This could be between synonyms in one language, or between different languages.

3.21**transformation**

change of form

3.22**view**

constrained representation of a data model

4 Organization of ISO 18876

ISO 18876 is divided into a number of parts.

ISO 18876-1, this part, provides an overview and specifies an architecture for the integration of industrial data.

ISO 18876-2 specifies methods for integrating application models and for developing and extending integration model s.

NOTE Other specifications may be developed to extend the capability of ISO 18876, such as:

- models designed to integrate two or more other models;
- models designed to meet the needs of a particular application, application models;
- mapping specifications designed to specify how a data population of one model may be migrated to another model;
- mapping specifications designed to specify how a model in one language may be migrated to another language;
- methods and languages to support the definition of models and mappings between different modelling languages;
- methods and specifications for the encoding of models and transformation between encodings; and
- the specification of services and interfaces to be provided by conforming implementations.

5 Fundamental concepts and assumptions

The following fundamental concepts and assumptions apply to this standard.

5.1 Integration models

5.1.1 Principles

The three-schema architecture for data models [1] shows that, for any data model, it is possible to construct views on the original model. In this International Standard, this principle is extended to cover other types of model and modelling languages. In the integration of models, this process is reversed: a model is created for which the initial models are views. A model created in this way is an integration model with respect to the initial models in that it is capable of representing information with the scope of either or both of the original models. This is illustrated in Figure 1 below.




Figure 1 – Model Integration

An integration model can be created if a common understanding of the application models to be integrated can be established [2].

NOTE 1 Difficulties in creating such a model point to a gap in human knowledge about what the application models represent.

NOTE 2 There may be more than one integration model to which an application model can be integrated, where the integration models support different ways of looking at the world. See




Figure 2: Integration into more than one integration model

NOTE 3 Models that have been created as integration models can themselves be integrated. This means that any arbitrary set of models can, in principle, be integrated at the cost of creating a new model; this is supported by the architecture defined in this standard.

NOTE 4 One possible use for the architecture defined here is the development of an integration model that is stable in the face of the integration of additional models. Here stable means that the existing integration model does not need to be changed as more models are integrated, though extensions of the integration model may be necessary.

Integration models sometimes represent concepts that are more generic than the models they integrate. This is necessarily the case when the models being integrated have conflicting constraints affecting the information that is to be represented. These constraints should be preserved by the integration process, and held in some form other than in the structure of the integration model.

NOTE 5 The constraints can be held in the mapping specification or as data within the integration model.

5.1.2 Scope and context

Integration models can be created that consider two or more application models of interest – the scope and context of such an integration model can be no smaller than the combined scope and context of the application models being integrated. The relationship between such an integration model and the other application models that it integrates is shown in Figure 3 below.




Figure 3 – A limited integration model

If requirements subsequently emerge to integrate additional application models with AM₁ and AM₂ (and hence with IM) it is unlikely their the context of these further models will fit within that of IM. This implies that IM cannot support the information represented by the further application models, and will itself have to be integrated with another integration model (created for this purpose or selected from candidate integration models). This is shown in Figure 4 below.




Figure 4 – Integrating an application model and a limited integration model

However, the initial integration model (IM) can be chosen to have a wide model context. This means that it can support the information needs of many different applications, even though its initial model scope is limited to that of the models that it integrates, as shown in Figure 5 below.




Figure 5 – Using an integration model with a wide model context

Integration of further application models can then be achieved through extension of the integration model – enlarging its model scope within the wide model context, as shown in Figure 6 below. In this case, the integration model can be extended (though the addition of constructs that represent specific and derived concepts) without changing its initial content or the mappings of the initial application models AM₁ and AM₂.




Figure 6 – Integrating additional application models

Integration models that have a wide model context and are therefore suitable for integrating many different application models have the following characteristics.

- Each object is modelled in terms of its underlying nature, based on a specific paradigm or view of the world being modelled. This means that each object is not modelled in terms of the role or roles that it plays in particular circumstances nor the information about the object that is of interest in particular cases.
- The names chosen for the model constructs also reflect the underlying nature of the subject of the model.
- Only those constraints that are applicable for the whole content and scope of the model are represented in the structure of the model.
- Classes are represented as nodes in a subclass/superclass (specialization/generalization) hierarchy which is rooted in a single class.
- The model represents and manages history and change.
- Artificial identifiers are used as a surrogate for the identity of the things represented by the model. These identifiers allow assertions of equivalence to be made across multiple files, databases, and other information systems. Other attributes and relationships are not used as parts of these identifiers.
- The modelling language chosen to represent the integration model is used consistently.

NOTE See ISO 18876-2, Annex C for guidelines for the use of the EXPRESS language (ISO 10303-11) to represent integration models.

The following assumptions apply to the use of integration models in the integration process.

- An integration model is not changed by the integration process.

NOTE Change here means alteration to the existing structure or content of the integration model, and is distinguished from the process of extension which adds new constructs to an integration model without altering those that form the integration model at the start of the integration process. If it is necessary to change, rather than extend, the integration model, a new integration model is created.

5.1.3 Integration model concepts

The concepts represented by an integration model can be classified as primitive concepts, see 3.17, and derived concepts, see 3.6. Primitive concepts are the building blocks for the definition of other concepts, and can be further classified as:

- foundation concepts, see 3.8;
 - general concepts, see 3.9;
 - or specific concepts, see 3.18;
- as represented in Figure 7 below.




Figure 7 – Primitive Concepts

NOTE Specific concepts are dependent on general concepts that are dependent on foundation concepts, since all the lower concepts relies on the existence of one or more higher level concepts. For example, without the foundation concept of classification, there is relatively little that can be said about anything.

EXAMPLE 1 At the top level, an integration model might have foundation concepts like classification, connection and composition. General concepts might include those of physics, and finally discipline specific concepts that are limited in their range of application.

5.1.4 A full integration model

A full integration model, as illustrated in Figure 8, is more than just primitive concepts; it includes derived concepts – useful and valid combinations of primitive concepts. Only derived concepts that are of interest need be recorded.




Figure 8 – A full integration model

This architecture does not require that primitive concepts are primitive forever. If a concept that is initially thought to be a primitive concept turns out not to be, then the concepts it is derived from can be identified/added, and the derivation added, so that it becomes a derived concept away from the front face of the pyramid. This allows flexibility to reflect an improved knowledge of the world, rather than reflecting knowledge of the world that is constrained by a modeller's knowledge at a point in time. Therefore, an integration model will need to be maintained and extended, and a mechanism for maintenance and extension will be necessary.

5.2 Mapping specifications

Mapping specifications specify the transformations that determine how the instances of one model can be represented as instances of another model. Mapping specifications are used in two ways, as follows.

The mapping specification can describe the mapping transformations between a subset of an integration model and a pre-existing application model that governs data that is separate from that governed by the integration model. In this case the mapping specification describes the transformations that enable assertions of equivalence of instances of one model to be made with respect to instances of the other.

The mapping specification can describe the mapping transformations between a subset of an integration model and an application model that is used as an application view. In this case the mapping specification describes how instances in the application view are created from instances in the integration model.

NOTE See Annex C for guidelines for the use of the EXPRESS-X language (ISO 10303-14) to specify mappings.

The following assumptions apply to the mapping specifications that are created during the integration process.

- New concepts or constraints are not introduced in the mapping specification; mapping specifications are limited to transformations of structure, terminology, and encoding.
- A complete mapping specification is bi-directional. However, the transformations from the first model to the second model may have to be specified separately from those from second model to the first model, and the mapping in one direction need not be derivable from the mapping in the other direction.

6 Overview of the model integration process

The model integration process takes a number of application models and an integration model. It ensures that all the concepts of the application models are represented in the integration model, and develops a mapping specification between the integration model and each of the application models.

There are three possible cases for the integration process:

- the integration model and the application models both exist before the integration process starts;
- the application models to be integrated exist before the integration process starts, but not the integration model;
- the integration model exists before the integration process starts, but the application model needs to be developed from some statement of requirements.

The first of these covers all the elements of the other two, and is described here in outline for one application model. The other two processes are described in more detail in ISO18876-2.

Integrating an application model with an integration model is illustrated in Figure 9 below. The goal of this integration process is to allow the same information that is represented in the application models to be represented in the integration model without loss of meaning, and to allow transformations between these representations. The result of the integration process is a mapping specification between the application model and a part of the integration model. In order to define this mapping it may be necessary to extend the integration model so that it precisely represents the concepts found in the application model.




Figure 9 – Integrating application models with an integration model

Being an integration model derives from the role that it plays with respect to other application models. The fundamental characteristic of an integration model is that it integrates two or more application models.

The process of integrating an application model with an integration model is divided into a number of steps, as follows:

- analyse the application models and identify the equivalent concept of the integration model, including any constraints that apply, see Figure 10;

NOTE 1 Most application models have a context within which the model has to be understood, but which is not explicit in the model itself. Usually it will be inappropriate to add this information explicitly to the application model. In this case these requirements should be captured in the mapping specification as part of the integration process.




Figure 10 – Analyzing the application models

- if necessary, extend the integration model so that it includes all the concepts found in the application models, see Figure 11;




Figure 11 – Adding any missing concepts to the integration model

- identify the part of the integration model that represents the concepts in each application model, see Figure 12;




Figure 12 – Identifying the subset of the integration model

- create the mapping in each direction between each application model and the appropriate subset of the integration model, see Figure 13;




Figure 13 – Creating the mapping between the integration model subset and the application model

- specify any structural transformations, terminology transformations, or encoding transformations that apply within the mapping;
- specify any transformations that are necessary between model representations;

EXAMPLE 1 If an application model is specified in the XML Schema definition language and the integration model to which it is mapped is specified in EXPRESS (ISO 10303-11), a transformation between these languages will be necessary to map between different representations of the same concepts.

— repeat this process for all other application models to be integrated.

Most application models have a context within which the model has to be understood, but which is not explicitly represented in the application model itself. Mapping successfully in both directions requires that both the explicit model and its context be mapped into the integration model.

EXAMPLE 2 In a salary payment system may be an entity data type called **employee**. However, it is often implicit that each person represented by instances of this entity data type is an employee of the company that operates the system and legally eligible for employment under company and governmental policies.

7 Integration architecture components

7.1 Entity attribute relationship based components

NOTE Object oriented models are considered in this International Standard as entity attribute relationship models.




Figure 14 – Integration architecture components

Figure 14 gives an alternative view of Figure 9 showing the elements that may be standardized, as follows:

— integration models;

NOTE 1 This may be a single model with multiple levels of abstraction, using a suitable logic based language, such as KIF or EXIST, or a layered model, using an entity-relationship language such as EXPRESS with a data model and reference data libraries. Figure 14 illustrates the use of a model to define the structure of a reference data library that can hold reference classes and reference individuals.

NOTE 2 There may be a number of reference data libraries. These are to cover the discipline specific primitive concepts, and derived concepts. Procedures may be required for their development to ensure there is no duplication across the libraries.

— mapping specifications that specify a part of the integration model, constraints that specify the population of the integration model that maps to the application model, structural transformations between the structure of

the integration model and the structure of the application model, and finally terminology transformations between the integration model and an application model;

NOTE 3 Mapping specifications may also be required between model specification languages.

— application models.

8 Data mapping and consolidation

Mapping between models is not sufficient to achieve integration. This requires reconciliation of information represented according to the different models. This process is illustrated in Figure 15 below.




Figure 15 – Data consolidation

- Translate the data population 1, and 2, according to their source models into the data populations 1' and 2' according to the model IM₁.
- Identify which data elements in the two data sets represent the same things, and consolidate them in data population 3.

NOTE This requires a common, reliable, persistent identification mechanism. This does not necessarily mean defining some new attribute for use by the various systems. It may be possible to use an existing attribute. There may be a collection of attributes and relationships that together can provide a unique identification. Any of these may be mapped to an independent identification scheme.

9 Relationship to other standards

This International Standard can be used in conjunction with other standards. It can be used by a standard that wishes to integrate a set of models to create that integration by design.

EXAMPLE 1 ISO 15926 specifies a data model and a reference data library that together form an integration model for process industry applications.

This International Standard can also be used to integrate existing information standards where integration is desired but was not achieved by design.

EXAMPLE 2 The methodology described in ISO 18876-2 can be used to integrate a product data exchange capability specified in an ISO 10303 application protocol and a document exchange capability described by an XML Document Type Definition.

Annex A

(normative)

Information object registration

To provide for unambiguous identification of an information object in an open system, the object identifier

`{iso standard 18876 part{1} version {1}}`

is assigned to this part of ISO 19976. The meaning of this value is defined in ISO/IEC 8824-1, and is described in ISO 10303-1.

NOTE This is the object identifier that will apply to the published (IS) version of this part of ISO 18876.

The reference to Part 1 of STEP assumes that the second edition of Part 1 defines usage of ASN.1 identifiers that is not limited to ISO 10303.

Bibliography

1. ISO/TR 9007:1988, *Information processing systems -- concepts and terminology for the conceptual schema and the information base*.
2. BARWISE, Jon; SELIGMAN, Jerry. *Information flow: the logic of distributed systems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
3. *EPISTLE Core Model V3.1* EPISTLE, 1999. Available from <http://www.stepcom.ncl.ac.uk>.
4. *Integration Definition for Information Modeling (IDEFIX)*. Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 184, December 1993
5. WEST, Matthew; FOWLER, Julian. *Developing High Quality Data Models*. Version 2.0, Issue 2.1. EPISTLE, 1996. Available from <http://www.stepcom.ncl.ac.uk>.
6. FOWLER, Julian, "Industry requirements for SC4 standards", 1998, ISO TC184/SC4/WG10 N173
7. GUARINO, Nicola, "Some Organizing Principles for a Unified Top Level Ontology", 1997, <http://www.ladseb.pd.cnr.it/infor/ontology/ontology.html>
8. GUARINO, Nicola, "Some Ontological Principles for Designing Upper Level Lexical Resources", 1998, <http://www.ladseb.pd.cnr.it/infor/ontology/ontology.html>
9. PARTRIDGE, Chris, "Business Objects, re-engineering for reuse", Butterworth Heinemann, 1996, ISBN 0-7506-2082-X

Index

application model	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15
class	2, 3, 8, 15
concept.....	2, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 14
data	2, 4, 6, 10
data model	3, 5, 15, 16
derived concept.....	3, 7, 9, 10, 15
encoding transformation	3, 14
foundation concept.....	3, 9
general concept.....	3, 9
individual	3, 15
information	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15
information object registration.....	17
integration model	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
mapping specification	3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 12, 15
model.....	3, 4, 5, 6
model context	3, 7, 8
model scope	4, 7
primitive concept	4, 9, 10, 15
specific concept	4, 9
structural transformation.....	4, 14
terminology transformation.....	4, 14, 15
transformation.....	4, 5, 10, 11
view	4, 5, 8, 10